

# The Family And Medical Insurance Leave (FAMILY) Act

FEBRUARY 2019

People across the country are working hard to make ends meet, yet the nation fails to provide the support they need to manage the demands of job and family, and that businesses and our economy need to thrive. Just 17 percent of the workforce has paid family leave through their employers, and less than 40 percent has personal medical leave through an employer-provided short-term disability program.<sup>1</sup>

**The Family And Medical Insurance Leave (FAMILY) Act would address America's paid family and medical leave crisis and benefit working people, their families, businesses and our nation's economy.**

## Legislative Overview

Sponsored by Rep. Rosa DeLauro (D-Conn.) and Sen. Kirsten Gillibrand (D-N.Y.), the Family And Medical Insurance Leave (FAMILY) Act (H.R. 1185/S. 463) would create a **comprehensive national program that helps meet the needs of new mothers and fathers and people with serious personal or family health issues** through a shared fund that makes paid leave affordable for employers of all sizes and for workers and their families.

### The FAMILY Act would:

- ▶ Provide workers with **up to 12 weeks of partial income when they take time** for their own serious health conditions, including pregnancy and childbirth recovery; the serious health condition of a child, parent, spouse or domestic partner; the birth or adoption of a child; and/or for particular military caregiving and leave purposes.
- ▶ Enable workers to earn **66 percent** of their monthly wages, up to a capped amount – ensuring that low- and middle-wage workers have a higher share of their wages replaced.
- ▶ **Cover workers in all companies, no matter their size.** Younger, part-time, lower-wage, contingent and self-employed workers would be eligible for benefits.
- ▶ Be funded responsibly by **small employee and employer payroll contributions** of two-tenths of 1 percent each (two cents per \$10 in wages), or less than \$2.00 per week for a typical worker.<sup>2</sup>
- ▶ Be administered through a **new Office of Paid Family and Medical Leave.** Payroll contributions would cover both insurance benefits and administrative costs.

# Benefits for Workers, Families, Businesses and Our Economy

## **STRENGTHENS FAMILIES' ECONOMIC INDEPENDENCE**

Working families lose an estimated \$20.6 billion in wages each year due to a lack of access to paid family and medical leave.<sup>3</sup> The FAMILY Act would cut by nearly 75 percent the share of families who fall into poverty after taking the unpaid leave provided by the federal Family and Medical Leave Act.<sup>4</sup>

## **PROMOTES PARENTS' WORKFORCE PARTICIPATION AND EQUITY**

Paid leave can help new parents keep their families on track to meet new expenses. In the year following a birth, new mothers who take paid leave are more likely than those who take no paid leave to stay in the workforce and 54 percent more likely to report wage increases.<sup>5</sup> When fathers take paid leave, their involvement at home increases and is more equitable, making it easier for women to return to the workforce.<sup>6</sup>

## **PROTECTS OLDER WORKERS' INCOME AND RETIREMENT SECURITY**

Nearly half of employed caregivers who take time off to fulfill their responsibilities at home report losing income.<sup>7</sup> On average, workers 50 or older who leave the workforce to care for a parent lose more than \$300,000 in wages and retirement – and, for women, losses are even greater.<sup>8</sup> Paid leave would help keep more family caregivers – and people with their own health conditions – employed and strengthen their financial positions over time.

## **IMPROVES HEALTH OUTCOMES FOR CHILDREN, ILL ADULTS AND SENIORS**

Paid leave contributes to improved newborn and child health. New mothers are better able to initiate and continue breastfeeding, and new parents can more easily get babies to the doctor for check-ups and immunizations.<sup>9</sup> Paid leave also allows ill or injured adults to get critical care and take needed recovery time, and it enables caregivers to help ill parents, spouses and children fulfill treatment plans and avoid complications and hospital readmissions.<sup>10</sup>

---

**The FAMILY Act would create a comprehensive national program that helps meet the needs of new mothers *and* fathers and people with serious personal or family health issues through a shared fund that makes paid leave affordable for employers of all sizes and for workers and families.**

---

## **IMPROVES BOTTOM LINES FOR BUSINESSES**

The FAMILY Act would spread the cost of leave, reducing the burden on individual employers and allowing many more workers to access paid leave. Paid leave contributes to reduced turnover and increased employee engagement and loyalty, leading to significant employer cost savings.<sup>11</sup> In California, where a family leave insurance program has existed for more than a decade, workers in low-wage, high-turnover industries are much more likely to return to their jobs after using the program, and nine out of 10 businesses report positive or neutral effects on profitability and productivity.<sup>12</sup>

## **A STRONGER NATIONAL ECONOMY**

The United States would add billions of dollars to its GDP if women were fully employed, which would be more likely if paid leave were widely available.<sup>13</sup> A national paid leave insurance program would help keep new parents and family caregivers in the workforce

and boost their incomes and savings over time, all of which would contribute to economic productivity and growth. In contrast, when people have to forgo pay or lose a job when a serious medical or caregiving need arises, they often jeopardize their ability to afford even the most basic necessities. This hurts workers, their families and the businesses that depend on revenue from these purchases, and it stifles economic growth.

## Demonstrated Effectiveness

### **The FAMILY Act builds on successful state family and medical leave insurance programs.**

Four states have paid family and medical leave insurance programs (California, New Jersey, New York and Rhode Island) and paid family leave programs will take effect in Washington state and Massachusetts in 2019 and the District of Columbia in 2020. Analyses of California's program show that employers and employees have benefitted.<sup>14</sup> In New Jersey, program costs are even lower than expected and public attitudes toward the program are favorable.<sup>15</sup> Research on the first year of Rhode Island's program also shows favorable results for new parents and small- and medium-sized businesses.<sup>16</sup>

**It is well past time for a national paid leave policy that meets the country's needs and truly honors families. It's time for the FAMILY Act.** For more information, visit [NationalPartnership.org](http://NationalPartnership.org).

---

1 U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2018, September). *National Compensation Survey: Employee Benefits in the United States, March 2018* (Tables 16 and 32). Retrieved 25 September 2018, from <https://www.bls.gov/nsc/ebs/benefits/2018/employee-benefits-in-the-united-states-march-2018.pdf>

2 U.S. Census Bureau. (2018, September). *Wage and Salary Workers--People 15 Years Old and Over by Total Wage and Salary Income in 2017, Work Experience in 2017, Race, Hispanic Origin, and Sex* (Table PINC-10). Retrieved 25 September 2018, from <https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/income-poverty/cps-pinc/pinc-10.html> (Unpublished calculation based on median wage or salary for full-time, year-round workers in 2017.)

3 Glynn, S. J., & Corley, D. (2016, September). *The Cost of Work-Family Policy Inaction: Quantifying the Costs Families Currently Face as a Result of Lacking U.S. Work-Family Policies*. Center for American Progress Publication. Retrieved 25 September 2018, from <https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/women/reports/2016/09/22/143877/the-cost-of-inaction>

4 Joshi, P., Earle, A., & Baldiga, M. (2016). *Data-for-Equity Pipeline: Family and Economic Security*. Institute for Child, Youth, and Family Policy Presentation. Available from the National Partnership for Women & Families upon request.

5 Houser, L., & Vartanian, T. P. (2012, January). *Pay Matters: The Positive Economic Impact of Paid Family Leave for Families, Businesses and the Public*. Center for Women and Work at Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey Publication. Retrieved 25 September 2018, from [http://www.nationalpartnership.org/site/DocServer/Pay\\_Matters\\_Positive\\_Economic\\_Impacts\\_of\\_Paid\\_Family\\_L.pdf?docID=9681](http://www.nationalpartnership.org/site/DocServer/Pay_Matters_Positive_Economic_Impacts_of_Paid_Family_L.pdf?docID=9681)

6 Bartel, A., Rossin-Slater, M., Ruhm, C., Stearns, J., & Waldfogel, J. (2015, November). *Paid Family Leave, Fathers' Leave-Taking, and Leave-Sharing in Dual-Earner Households* (Working Paper No. 21747). National Bureau of Economic Research Publication. Retrieved 25 September 2018, from <http://www.nber.org/papers/w21747.pdf>

7 Aumann, K., Galinsky, E., Sakai, K., Brown, M., Bond, J. (2010). *The Elder Care Study: Everyday Realities and Wishes for Change*. Families and Work Institute Publication. Retrieved 25 September 2018, from [http://familiesandwork.org/site/research/reports/elder\\_care.pdf](http://familiesandwork.org/site/research/reports/elder_care.pdf)

8 MetLife Mature Market Institute. (2011, June). *The MetLife Study of Caregiving Costs to Working Caregivers: Double Jeopardy for Baby Boomers Caring for Their Parents*. Retrieved 25 September 2018, from <http://www.caregiving.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/06/mmi-caregiving-costs-working-caregivers.pdf>

9 Berger, L., Hill, J., & Waldfogel, J. (2005). Maternity Leave, Early Maternal Employment and Child Health and Development in the US. *The Economic Journal*, 115(501), F29-F47.

10 Institute of Medicine. (2008, April 11). *Retooling for an Aging America: Building the Health Care Workforce* (p. 254). Retrieved 25 September 2018, from <https://www.nap.edu/read/12089/chapter/1>; Arbaje, A. I., et al. (2008). Postdischarge Environmental and Socioeconomic Factors and the Likelihood of Early Hospital Readmission Among Community-Dwelling Medicare Beneficiaries. *The Gerontologist* 48(4), 495-504. Summary retrieved 25 September 2018, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18728299>

11 Boushey, H., & Glynn, S. J. (2012, November 16). *There Are Significant Business Costs to Replacing Employees*. Center for American Progress Publication. Retrieved 25 September 2018, from <http://www.americanprogress.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/11/CostofTurnover.pdf>

12 Appelbaum, E., & Milkman, R. (2013). *Unfinished Business: Paid Family Leave in California and the Future of U.S. Work-Family Policy*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

13 Aguirre, D., Hoteit, L., Rupp, C., & Sabbagh, K. (2012). *Empowering the Third Billion. Women and the World of Work in 2012*. strategy& Publication. Retrieved 25 September 2018, from [http://www.strategyand.pwc.com/media/file/Strategyand\\_Empowering-the-Third-Billion-Full-Report.pdf](http://www.strategyand.pwc.com/media/file/Strategyand_Empowering-the-Third-Billion-Full-Report.pdf)

14 Bartel, A., Baum, C., Rossin-Slater, M., Ruhm, C., & Waldfogel, J. (2014, June). *California's Paid Family Leave Law: Lessons from the First Decade*. U.S. Department of Labor Publication. Retrieved 25 September 2018, from <http://www.dol.gov/asp/evaluation/reports/PaidLeaveDeliverable.pdf>

15 Press of Atlantic City. (2010, November 15). *Paid Family Leave / Working well*. Retrieved 25 September 2018, from [http://www.pressofatlanticcity.com/opinion/editorials/article\\_0d6ba980-3a1d-56f7-9101-258999b5d9d0.html](http://www.pressofatlanticcity.com/opinion/editorials/article_0d6ba980-3a1d-56f7-9101-258999b5d9d0.html); See also Houser, L., & White, K. (2012, October). *Awareness of New Jersey's Family Leave Insurance Program is Low, Even as Public Support Remains High and Need Persists*. Rutgers University, The State University of New Jersey Center for Women

---

and Work Publication. Retrieved 25 September 2018, from [http://njtimetocare.com/sites/default/files/03\\_New%20Jersey%20Family%20Leave%20Insurance-%20A%20CWW%20Issue%20Brief.pdf](http://njtimetocare.com/sites/default/files/03_New%20Jersey%20Family%20Leave%20Insurance-%20A%20CWW%20Issue%20Brief.pdf)

16 National Partnership for Women & Families. (2015, February). *First Impressions: Comparing State Paid Family Leave Programs in Their First Years*. Retrieved 25 September 2018, from <http://www.nationalpartnership.org/research-library/work-family/paid-leave/first-impressions-comparing-state-paid-family-leave-programs-in-their-first-years.pdf>;

Bartel, A., Rossin-Slater, M., Ruhm, C., & Waldfogel, J. (2016, January). *Assessing Rhode Island's Temporary Caregiver Insurance Act: Insights from a Survey of Employers*. U.S. Department of Labor Publication. Retrieved 25 September 2018, from [https://www.dol.gov/asp/evaluation/completed-studies/AssessingRhodelslandTemporaryCaregiverInsuranceAct\\_InsightsFromSurveyOfEmployers.pdf](https://www.dol.gov/asp/evaluation/completed-studies/AssessingRhodelslandTemporaryCaregiverInsuranceAct_InsightsFromSurveyOfEmployers.pdf)

The National Partnership for Women & Families is a nonprofit, nonpartisan advocacy group dedicated to promoting fairness in the workplace, access to quality health care and policies that help women and men meet the dual demands of work and family. More information is available at [NationalPartnership.org](http://NationalPartnership.org).

© 2019 National Partnership for Women & Families. All rights reserved.