Dear Chairman Grassley and Ranking Member Feinstein,

We, the undersigned, write in steadfast opposition to the confirmation of Judge Kavanaugh. We believe his nomination to the Supreme Court poses a dire threat to women’s health and wellbeing. Specifically, we are concerned about what his confirmation to the Supreme Court could mean for the 67 million women and girls with pre-existing conditions.¹

The threat Judge Kavanaugh poses to women’s reproductive health has been well documented. His appointment would be a disaster for women’s reproductive health, including access to abortion and contraception. President Trump has been clear that he will only appoint justices who will overturn Roe v. Wade “automatically”² and undo the legal right to abortion care. Judge Kavanaugh was selected for that very reason.³ When Judge Kavanaugh had the opportunity to block access to abortion care, he jumped at it: he recently voted to prevent a young immigrant woman from accessing the abortion care she wanted, arguing for a delay in her release that could have made it too late for her to legally access abortion.⁴ He has also ruled against disabled women’s right to make their own choices regarding their own reproductive health care - issuing a ruling upholding a DC government policy that had led to two involuntary abortions.⁵

Additionally, there are threats to women’s health moving through the courts. Cases involving the Affordable Care Act’s (ACA) prohibition on discrimination based on pre-existing conditions are working their way through the court system and may, ultimately, end up at the Supreme Court. Judge Kavanaugh already expressed his opposition to the ACA. Judge Kavanaugh openly criticized Chief Justice Roberts for his decision to uphold the health care law⁶ and, from the bench, repeatedly voiced his opposition to the ACA,⁷ including by suggesting that a president could “decline to enforce” this lifesaving legislation if he personally deems it unconstitutional.⁸

Women simply cannot return to the discriminatory practices that were pervasive before the ACA. For example, in the individual insurance market, a woman could be denied coverage or charged a higher premium if she had experienced HIV or AIDS, , diabetes, lupus, an eating disorder, pregnancy or a previous Cesarean birth, just to name a few.⁹ Recent estimates find that more than half of women and girls nationally (over 67 million) have
preexisting conditions.\(^{10}\) There also are nearly six million pregnancies each year, a common reason for denying women coverage on the individual market before the ACA.\(^{11}\) The data make clear that allowing insurers to return to pre-ACA practices could mean millions of women being denied coverage or charged more based on their health status if they ever sought coverage in the individual market.

Women’s health, wellbeing, and economic security – indeed, their very lives – are all at risk with Judge Kavanaugh’s nomination. We urge the Senate to stand with the millions of women and girls who would be left without affordable, comprehensive, quality care and to reject Judge Kavanaugh’s nomination to the Supreme Court of the United States.
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Seven-Sky, 661 F.3d at 50 n.43 (Kavanaugh, J., dissenting) (“Under the Constitution, the President may decline to enforce a statute that regulates private individuals when the President deems the statute unconstitutional, even if a court has held or would hold the statute constitutional.”).

